

Tracking the Cost of Maintaining Stormwater Best Management Practice Facilities: the Role of Database Design and Data Entry Best Practices

Ruo Chen Dong, S.M.ASCE¹, Jacob D. Nelson², Savannah L. Cummins³, and Jonathan L.
Goodall, Ph.D., P.E., F.ASCE⁴

¹Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Engineering Systems and Environment, University
of Virginia, 351 McCormick Rd., P.O. Box 400742, Charlottesville, VA 22904

²Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Engineering Systems and Environment, University of Virginia,
351 McCormick Rd., P.O. Box 400742, Charlottesville, VA 22904

³Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Engineering Systems and Environment, University
of Virginia, 351 McCormick Rd., P.O. Box 400742, Charlottesville, VA 22904

⁴Professor, Department of Engineering Systems and Environment, University of Virginia, 351
McCormick Rd., P.O. Box 400742, Charlottesville, VA 22904. Email: goodall@virginia.edu

ABSTRACT

Best management practices (BMPs) are widely used to mitigate non-point source pollution from stormwater discharges. However, long-term operation and maintenance of stormwater BMPs have been an afterthought before the compliance requirement detailed in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) permit. As a result, there is limited information available on the actual cost of maintaining BMPs. The objective of this research is to analyze the stormwater maintenance tracking database created by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) to (1) complete a preliminary cost analysis of routine and non-routine maintenance with respect to VDOT district or practice type and to (2) identify challenges encountered when processing the data for analysis and provide potential solutions relevant to other entities tracking BMP maintenance costs. The cost analyses presented in this study are preliminary based on the currently available data; however, they

24 show insightful trends among the data collected by VDOT from 2018 to 2020. Namely, preventative
25 maintenance actions appeared to greatly lower the need for non-routine or major repairs within the
26 Virginia districts. Routine and non-routine maintenance costs were, on average, \$375 per task and
27 \$812 per task, respectively. The cost of major repairs was approximately \$63,000 per case. The most
28 expensive routine maintenance tasks were Basin BMPs (constructed wetlands, wet ponds, extended
29 detention, and ponds), averaging \$400 per task. The most expensive non-routine maintenance tasks
30 were Infiltration BMPs (permeable pavement, infiltration practices, and bioretention), averaging
31 \$1,123 per task. The Basin BMPs had the largest annual upkeep at \$1,100 per year. Approaches
32 for extending the current database design used by VDOT are discussed to address challenges
33 identified through the analysis including data incompleteness, overloaded work orders, and the lack
34 of controlled vocabulary. These lessons learned regarding database design can be useful to other
35 agencies seeking to track and analyze stormwater maintenance activities and associated costs.

36 **PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS**

37 The tracking of stormwater best management practice (BMP) operation and maintenance
38 (O&M) practices can help reduce the costs associated with stormwater permit compliance. A
39 key factor in the O&M tracking process is the database design, which governs the collection,
40 storage, accessibility, and analysis of the O&M data. However, the database design for BMP
41 O&M tracking is not well documented. An analysis of the Virginia Department of Transportation's
42 (VDOT) O&M collection process and database design indicates that a many-to-many relationship
43 exists between work orders and the BMP assets, hindering the cost analysis of the maintenance work.
44 Other challenges observed were a lack of controlled vocabulary when reporting the maintenance
45 activities, assigning maintenance tasks associated with multiple BMPs to a single BMP, and the
46 presence of incomplete work orders. The access to detailed BMP maintenance information can
47 be used to calculate the approximate costs of routine and non-routine maintenance with respect
48 to district or practice type, to determine estimates for BMP inspection frequencies, and to assess
49 the level of effort needed to maintain certain BMPs. A design extension of the VDOT stormwater
50 O&M tracking database is proposed that can inform the design for other states' and communities'

51 stormwater BMP O&M tracking databases.

52 INTRODUCTION

53 The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) lists stormwater runoff from urbanized
54 areas as one of the top sources of water quality impairments to surveyed estuaries and lakes (USEPA
55 2009). Since the passing of the 1987 amendments to the Clean Water Act that authorized the USEPA
56 to begin regulating non-point source pollution from stormwater discharges, the field of stormwater
57 management has arguably experienced more innovation than any other environmental discipline
58 (Flynn et al. 2012). The implementation of stormwater best management practices (BMPs) is one of
59 the most widely accepted measures used to control surface runoff volume and reduce pollutant loads
60 (Li 2015; Hoss et al. 2016). Unlike common sources of point-source pollution (e.g., municipal
61 wastewater treatment plants), stormwater management lacks detailed and agreed-upon guidelines
62 concerning the ongoing maintenance of BMPs (Barbosa et al. 2012; Blecken et al. 2017). As a
63 result, stormwater BMPs were consequently neglected or assumed to function indefinitely after their
64 construction (Blecken et al. 2017). Furthermore, Roy et al. (2008) concluded that there were seven
65 major obstacles to sustainable urban stormwater management: (1) uncertainties in performance and
66 cost, (2) insufficient engineering standards and guidelines, (3) fragmented responsibilities, (4) lack
67 of institutional capacity, (5) lack of legislative mandate, (6) lack of funding and effective market
68 incentives, and (7) resistance to change.

69 The USEPA requires all Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) permit holders to
70 inspect their respective BMPs annually and perform all needed maintenance within the same permit
71 year to help those facilities maintain a desired level of performance and efficiency (VDOT 2021).
72 However, very few studies have documented the actual maintenance activity, frequency, and cost
73 required to ensure the designed functionality and efficacy of BMPs (Houle et al. 2013; Nobles
74 et al. 2017). A case study on the current stormwater program identified common maintenance
75 issues found for green stormwater infrastructure (GSI) in Fairfax County, Virginia (DelGrosso et al.
76 2019). This study compared public and private facilities with GSIs by reviewing inspection data,
77 typical maintenance problems, and the frequency of said maintenance problems. The bulk of the

78 issues reported in the evaluations was due to site conditions as well as the frequency of the routine
79 maintenance performed (DelGrosso et al. 2019). One of their final recommendations was that a
80 thorough record and tracking of construction and post-construction inspection items are needed to
81 improve the facilities' longevity and aid in the decision-making efforts regarding GSI construction
82 and placement (DelGrosso et al. 2019). This research shows the value of consolidating maintenance
83 data into a database to help identify trends in deficiencies for specific facilities, as well as BMP
84 types.

85 Many stormwater permittees, regulators, and other interested parties found it challenging to
86 weigh the costs and benefits of a chosen practice since BMP cost is typically discussed in terms of
87 initial construction cost instead of the life-cycle cost that includes expenses over long-term O&M.
88 This is quite problematic for stormwater BMP managers who are in charge of BMP infrastructure
89 decision-making assessments (Roy et al. 2008). A few preliminary studies have been performed
90 to address this problem. One such study created a highly customizable Excel-based life-cycle
91 cost tool to help managers evaluate stormwater control measures (SCMs) by estimating the cost of
92 materials, labor, equipment, energy, and environmental costs (Krieger and Grubert 2021). There
93 is still a large uncertainty associated with their proposed tool due to the BMP design choice and
94 regional and temporal variability. Furthermore, the life-cycle cost is influenced by many other
95 factors including: is the labor subcontracted or internal; is the equipment rented or owned; what is
96 the minimum unit of equipment usage; will this be a high, medium, or low-cost estimate; and how
97 often is maintenance performed? (Krieger and Grubert 2021). The information gap remains clear:
98 there is a lack of detailed maintenance cost data describing BMP performance that could be used
99 to provide guidance on BMP management (Qiao et al. 2018; Roy et al. 2008).

100 To remain in compliance with the MS4 permit, to more efficiently plan and document stormwater
101 maintenance events, and to collect data on BMP O&M cost in the hope of estimating the
102 whole-life costs of various types of BMPs, certain state departments, local governments, and
103 nonprofit technical and educational organizations have become deeply invested in building their
104 own stormwater maintenance tracking databases (Qiao et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2023; Blecken et al.

105 2017). These BMP maintenance tracking databases must be purposefully managed by the staff
106 so that trends can be identified and educated decisions regarding BMP design and selection can
107 be made. A robust database should give an indication of the following: frequency and schedule
108 of inspection and maintenance, level of effort needed for routine maintenance, BMP deterioration
109 factors, and reasonable cost estimates for routine and non-routine BMP maintenance.

110 The International Stormwater Best Management Practices Database (BMP Database) is one
111 large systematic database that allows access to BMP data from organizations all around the world
112 (Smith et al. 2023). The goal of the BMP Database is to help municipalities select the best
113 BMP for their area and increase the performance and longevity of BMPs (Clary et al. 2018).
114 Currently, there are six different publicly available databases: Urban Stormwater BMP Database,
115 DOT Portal to BMP Database, Urban BMP Cost Database, National Stormwater Quality Database,
116 Agricultural BMP Database, and Stream Restoration Database (Smith et al. 2023). Requests
117 from the International Stormwater BMP Database range from a multitude of criteria: general site
118 information (location, climate characteristics, etc.), watershed information (soil type, land use,
119 imperviousness, etc.), general BMP information (cost data, date of installation, maintenance and
120 rehabilitation types and frequencies, etc.), monitored events, stations, and results (precipitation,
121 runoff, water quality, etc.), and many more (Clary et al. 2011). Even though the BMP Database
122 offers an abundance of BMP O&M information and data, it does not have the capability for
123 individual municipalities to assess and manage their data on an internal level (Smith et al. 2023).
124 Furthermore, the analysis of the downloaded data is quite difficult due to inadequate data records,
125 unique format, and inconsistent vocabulary (Smith et al. 2023).

126 Smith et al. (2023) proposed a relational data model to aid in BMP management that has a
127 similar format to the Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Sciences,
128 Inc. (CUAHSI)'s observations data model 1 (ODM1). Their data model structure enables
129 stormwater-specific data to be managed efficiently so that performance and function are effectively
130 monitored over time to give insight into BMP planning and management. The model has the
131 potential for powerful data analysis considering each data point has an associated spatial and

132 temporal value. The unification of BMP data allows for a holistic analysis of the system's
133 performance and function over time. According to [Smith et al. \(2023\)](#), there are a few important
134 requirements for a successful relational database model: controlled vocabulary to limit confusion,
135 efficient processing (in terms of uploading, storing, and retrieving data), quality control to ensure
136 that the data uploaded is accurate, data reuse to identify potential discrepancies, and analysis across
137 traditional data barriers to aid in the comparisons of BMPs.

138 The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) started digitally tracking stormwater
139 maintenance in October 2012. In 2018, the agency transitioned to an updated database within
140 its newly designed asset and work order management system named the Highway Maintenance
141 Management System (HMMS). The agency is highly interested in finding the type(s) of stormwater
142 practices that are more costly to maintain in the long run and eventually using the estimated
143 life-cycle costs to prioritize BMP options currently approved by the Virginia Stormwater BMP
144 Clearinghouse.

145 The current approach to BMP maintenance tracking is disjointed; therefore, there is a need to
146 create a more sustainable data-informed maintenance program that allows municipalities a more
147 systematic view to help with future decision-making ([Qiao et al. 2018](#); [Smith et al. 2023](#); [Blecken
148 et al. 2017](#)). A uniform format for tracking BMP items among municipalities would go a long way
149 to help design, regulate, and manage BMPs ([Smith et al. 2023](#)). Furthermore, this study seeks to
150 address the need for a comprehensive database for BMP maintenance that includes an emphasis on
151 controlled language in the collected data to increase the efficiency of data queries. In summary,
152 the objectives of this study are to assess the maintenance records stored within VDOT's HMMS to
153 (1) approximate the costs of routine and non-routine maintenance with respect to VDOT district or
154 practice type and to (2) identify challenges encountered when processing the data for analysis and
155 provide potential solutions, both relevant to VDOT and other entities seeking to track BMP O&M
156 costs, to address the issues.

157 **METHODS**

158 **Data and the Current Database Design**

159 For the purposes of this study, VDOT supplied data from two sub-databases within the HMMS
160 database: (1) the stormwater BMP work orders and (2) the stormwater BMPs. The former
161 consisted of over 4,000 stormwater work orders created from October 2018 to September 2020.
162 A portion of these work orders was created by internal staff and employees to keep track of
163 inspections and maintenance tasks conducted on stormwater BMPs, while the others were citizens'
164 drainage complaints or stormwater-related service requests submitted through VDOT's customer
165 service center and its online service portal. The BMP database comprised attributes for over 2,600
166 stormwater BMPs built by VDOT across Virginia from 1977 to 2020. These BMPs were categorized
167 into nine general BMP types, namely Basins, Filtration, Infiltration, Conveyance, Miscellaneous,
168 Underground Manufactured Filtering (UMF), Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic (UMH),
169 and OU (Other Underground Practices) based on their specific practice types (see Table 1)

170 The work order data mainly includes information on the current status, date created (and date
171 completed, if available), cost, and description of work performed or cause for complaint. The BMP
172 data includes facility-specific information such as inventory date, comments on the site and design,
173 and BMP type. In addition, both contain details regarding jurisdiction and location. The complete
174 lists of attributes used to define the two datasets can be found in Fig. 1. The "ID" and the "Asset
175 ID" columns are designated as the primary keys for the work order entity and the BMP entity,
176 respectively. The work order entity also uses an attribute named "SWM ID" (which is essentially a
177 duplicate column of "Asset ID") as the foreign key to create a link between the two entities, and a
178 many-to-many relationship currently exists between them.

179 A few distinct entities appear when reviewing the data. First, a BMP maintenance task (BMT)
180 can be defined as a single maintenance event conducted at one and only one BMP site at a given
181 time. Work orders without any BMTs are referred to as non-BMP work orders, whereas work orders
182 that consist of a single BMT are referred to as single-task BMP work orders, and those overloaded
183 with multiple BMTs are named bulk BMP work orders. Example 1 from Fig. 2 represents a typical
184 non-BMP work order with no "SWM ID" entered. Its description field shows that some kind of

185 shrub is growing out of the curb inlet at this location, which is not a maintenance issue related to
186 any BMP facility. For this reason, the work order does not relate to any BMP entity. Example
187 2, however, involves repair work done to the rip rap area of a stormwater pond. This work order
188 is, therefore, considered a single-task BMP work order, and it is associated with one and only one
189 BMP entity through its "SWM ID" attribute. Lastly, the third example shows a bulk BMP work
190 order that consists of 71 separate inspections of 71 different BMPs. In this case, the work order is
191 related to many different BMP entities and each record in the work order dataset can potentially
192 have zero, one, or more than one related records in the BMP database. From the BMP perspective,
193 a facility may be maintained one or more times, or may not have been visited by any maintenance
194 crew during the two-year window. This means each BMP record can also be associated with zero,
195 one, or more than one work order record. This ultimately creates a many-to-many relationship
196 between BMPs and BMTs, which presents problems for the cost analysis, as described more fully
197 later.

198 **Data Preparation**

199 Records from the BMP dataset were joined to records from the work order dataset on the "SWM
200 ID" key. For work orders that had valid entries in the "SWM ID" field, the combined table could
201 directly show the type of stormwater BMP being maintained. With all pertinent data in a single
202 table, the data-cleaning process could proceed.

203 *Associating SWM IDs with Work Orders*

204 VDOT's stormwater work order entity was designed to track all stormwater-related operations
205 and maintenance (O&M) events. For this reason, work orders created for non-BMP-related jobs,
206 such as culvert cleaning and sinkhole repairs, were also logged in the system. Ideally, these
207 non-BMP related jobs would have a null value for their "SWM ID", and maintenance work conducted
208 on structural BMP facilities would be differentiated with a non-null value for the "SWM ID". That
209 way, BMP work orders should be easily separated by selecting those with non-null values in
210 the "SWM ID" field. However, some inputs for the "SWM ID" for the BMP-related jobs were
211 occasionally omitted. As a result, no information on the BMP type could be added from the

212 join, i.e., those incomplete records would have to be excluded from the average maintenance cost
213 calculation in regard to that BMP type.

214 To correct this omission and include as many work orders in the analysis as possible, a spatial
215 join operation was performed using a geographic information system (GIS) to associate "SWM IDs"
216 of the closest BMP facilities for work orders with missing "SWM IDs". The searching radius of the
217 operation was determined by first calculating the geodesic distance between each identified BMP
218 work order and its respective BMP facility using the latitude and longitude coordinates provided
219 by the newly joined table from above. The mean, median, and standard deviation of these distances
220 were found to be 197.69 m, 5.32 m, and 1501.96 m, respectively. The statistics pointed to a highly
221 right-skewed distribution, and for this reason, the Interquartile Range Rule (Eq. 1, Eq. 2, and Eq. 3)
222 was applied to identify outliers:

$$223 \quad IQR = Q_3 - Q_1 \quad (1)$$

$$224 \quad Lower\ Bound = Q_1 - 1.5 \times IQR \quad (2)$$

$$225 \quad Upper\ Bound = Q_3 + 1.5 \times IQR \quad (3)$$

228 Any distances that were less than the lower bound (the lower bound value was set to zero since
229 distance cannot be negative) or higher than the upper bound (86.29 m) were treated as outliers
230 and subsequently excluded from the calculation. Ultimately, distance values from 738 existing
231 BMP work orders were used, and the mean and standard deviation were 14.61 m and 20.56 m,
232 respectively. To be conservative with the association procedure, the search radius was chosen to
233 be two standard deviations away from the mean, which was 55.73 m. For any "unclaimed" work
234 order generated without a SWM ID, if there was a BMP site located within 55.73 m of where the
235 work order was documented to be performed (per the latitude and longitude coordinates of the
236 work order), such BMP facility's SWM ID would be assigned to that work order. When multiple
237 BMP sites met such a condition, the closest BMP's SWM ID was used. The spatial join operation
238 successfully associated SWM IDs for 577 work orders, which were thought to be BMP work orders

239 due to their spatial proximity to a BMP but had missing SWM ID values.

240 *Searching for Additional BMP Work Orders*

241 Some BMP work orders were still not identified after the spatial join process. In an attempt
242 to address this issue, a string-searching algorithm in Python was developed to iterate through the
243 "Description" fields of work orders that were still missing SWM IDs after the spatial join operation
244 to look for keyword(s) that signify BMP maintenance activities. Through trial and error, the
245 following strings were used to find additional BMP work orders: "routine maintenance", "annual
246 maintenance", "annual inspection", "annual assessment", "storm pond", "storm basin", "bmp",
247 "storm water basin", "storm water management basin", "corrective maintenance", "mowing", "swb",
248 and "cleaning of pond". If a match was found, the work order would be considered to be a BMP
249 work order even though its affiliated BMP facilities could not be determined. Note that if the
250 description field of a work order contained the word "test", the record was skipped because these
251 were presumably created for testing purposes when the HMMS was first launched. An additional
252 83 BMP work orders were found through this process. These work orders were only used in
253 district-level analysis and were excluded from analysis associated with the practice type due to the
254 missing SWM ID values.

255 After the initial steps of data cleaning, a total of 2,136 BMP work order records were identified.
256 To simplify future selections when calculating the maintenance task counts and maintenance costs,
257 a new attribute column named "BMP Work Order" was created. Work orders that initially had
258 SWM IDs were given a value of "Y1" in their "BMP Work Order" field; those found through a
259 spatial join were given a value of "Y2"; lastly, BMP work orders identified by the keyword search
260 algorithm were given a value of "Y3".

261 *Categorizing Maintenance Work Orders*

262 BMP work orders were next passed through another string-searching algorithm implemented in
263 Python to determine the maintenance type of each record and the specific maintenance task(s)
264 carried out. Maintenance for stormwater BMPs consists of routine, non-routine, and major
265 operations. According to [Erickson et al. \(2010\)](#), routine maintenance typically includes annual

266 inspection, vegetation and ground cover management, and litter removal, while non-routine maintenance
267 covers tasks such as structural repairs, erosion repairs, and sediment and debris removal. Major
268 maintenance often refers to full-fledged corrective repairs (i.e., restoration, rehabilitation, and
269 rebuild) (Erickson et al. 2010).

270 Found by trial and error, the different lists of strings used to identify specific maintenance
271 activities are summarized in Table 2. Certain VDOT districts preferred grouping some of the routine
272 maintenance activities together, which led to various combinations of maintenance activities. In
273 order for a maintenance record to be recognized by the algorithm as "M&C" (mowing and clean-up)
274 work order, its work description must meet the following three conditions: (1) contains the string
275 "mow", (2) contains the string "trash" or "clean", and (3) does not contain any of the strings on the
276 following list: "weed", "spray", "trim", and "contractors".

277 Once the BMP work orders were classified based on the maintenance activities carried out, the
278 BMP maintenance type (routine, non-routine, or major) to which they belonged could be easily
279 determined. Ultimately, 14 types of work orders were categorized as routine maintenance, three
280 were found to be non-routine maintenance, and one as major maintenance (see Table 2). Out of
281 the 2,136 BMP work orders, 739 (34.6%) were uncategorizable. Those records either had no work
282 description logged or the content of the description was too vague to automatically ascertain the
283 tasks performed using the keyword searching algorithm. For this reason, their BMP Maintenance
284 Type field was marked as "Unknown". See Fig. 3 for a schematic diagram summarizing these data
285 preparation steps.

286 *Calculating the Unit Cost of Work Orders*

287 VDOT divides Virginia into nine districts that oversee the maintenance of the stormwater
288 BMPs in each of their areas. When logging the maintenance operations into the HMMS, the
289 district offices would occasionally create bulk BMP work orders, which were used to record the
290 same type of maintenance task completed over two or more separate BMP facilities. Moreover,
291 certain district offices with large BMP inventories opted to hire contractors to help with addressing
292 the maintenance needs in a timely manner. Those contracted jobs appeared to be entered into the

293 database as bulk BMP work orders on an invoice-by-invoice basis. The bulk work orders were
294 typically reflected in the "Actual Total Cost" category where the entry was the sum of all the costs
295 (e.g., labor, equipment, etc.). One significant drawback of this approach is that the costs provided
296 represented the total invoice amount instead of the unit maintenance cost per facility.

297 Staff from VDOT typically used an attribute called "Quantity" to indicate the exact number of
298 BMPs that received the maintenance under each work order. Ideally, the unit cost should be derived
299 by dividing the total cost by the quantity. However, there were cases that the Quantity field was used
300 for other purposes, such as specifying the number of working hours spent on performing a task. To
301 remedy such an issue, an "Adjusted Quantity" and a "Unit Cost" column were created through this
302 project. The "Adjusted Quantity" column started off as a duplicate copy of the Quantity column,
303 but 105 records were manually adjusted based on the information provided in the work description.
304 For example, one of the work orders whose quantity value was "1" was described as "Spraying of
305 12 basins in Henry County." As a result, the value for its Adjusted Quantity field was changed to 12.
306 Values of the "Unit Cost" column were then calculated by dividing each total cost by the Adjusted
307 Quantity value.

308 *Counting the Number of BMP Maintenance Tasks*

309 After manually adjusting some of the quantity values, a total of 186 bulk BMP work orders were
310 found, many of which consisted of jobs across multiple types of BMPs. To provide a more accurate
311 estimate on the total number of maintenance events conducted per BMP type, a "Maintenance
312 Count" column was created for each of the eight general BMP types from VDOT, and the values
313 were manually entered after carefully reviewing every "Description" field. For a single-task BMP
314 work order performed on a grass swale, its "Conveyance Count" field would receive a value of
315 "1"; for a bulk BMP work order that serviced eight bioretention facilities and three hydrodynamic
316 separators, its "Infiltration Count" field and the "Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic Count"
317 field were given values of "8" and "3", respectively.

Calculating the Average Maintenance Frequency of BMP Maintenance Tasks and Annual Costs

The estimated maintenance frequencies for various practice types are displayed as "Average Task Count Per BMP Per Year". Those maintenance frequencies were found by dividing the number of maintenance tasks by (1) the number of unique BMP maintained and (2) the number of years of data used for the analysis (two years). The average annual costs can then be calculated by multiplying the "Average Cost Per Task" by the average maintenance frequencies.

Data Analysis

The 2,136 BMP work orders identified, through the previously described data cleansing steps, were first grouped together based on the BMP maintenance types to find the average cost for the entire collection of routine, non-routine, or major maintenance operations during the two-year study period. Next, routine work orders and non-routine work orders were in turn divided up by VDOT district to show how the maintenance cost varies from district to district. Lastly, the maintenance costs for various BMP types were computed by splitting the work orders up by the eight general practice types recognized by VDOT.

RESULTS

Overall Work Order Count and Maintenance Task Count

Results of work order and maintenance task counts for various BMP maintenance categories are summarized in Table 3. As defined earlier, a BMP maintenance task (BMT) is a single maintenance event conducted at one and only one BMP site at a given time. Multiple BMTs were sometimes compiled into a single BMP work order; this BMP work order is referred to as a bulk BMP work order. For this reason, the number of BMTs conducted from 2018 to 2020 is more than the number of BMP work orders identified in the database. Results from the analysis show that routine maintenance records accounted for over half of the BMP work orders and 80% of the total maintenance task count. In total, 158 non-routine maintenance work orders were found in the database, which accounted for 182 BMTs performed. Major maintenance work was only recorded 22 times during this two-year period. This study also found 186 bulk BMP maintenance records,

344 which were primarily used to combine routine maintenance tasks conducted over two or more
345 BMPs. Lastly, over a third of work orders were indeterminable because their description fields
346 contained null values or insufficient details (i.e., not found by an algorithm search).

347 **Maintenance Task Count by District or Practice Type**

348 Both Tables 4 and 5 focus on the number of BMTs performed over the two-year period. Table
349 4 summarizes the results by VDOT district while Table 5 displays the statistics by BMP type.
350 For example, out of the nine VDOT districts, the Northern Virginia district invested a tremendous
351 amount of time and effort into the upkeep of its BMP assets, completing, on average, 4.54 routine
352 maintenance tasks per BMP in two years. These preventative maintenance actions appeared to
353 greatly lower the need for non-routine or major repairs within the district. Mowing services were
354 the only routine maintenance work performed in the Bristol district. Roughly half of Salem district's
355 work orders were well documented in the database. Those work orders not only listed individual
356 maintenance activities completed in the Description field but also used the word "routine" at
357 the front to signify routine maintenance. Major maintenance events conducted in the Lynchburg
358 district exceeded the other districts combined. This suggests some inconsistencies across district
359 data entry practices. In fact, only two VDOT districts, Lynchburg and Northern VA created major
360 maintenance work orders during the time frame. It is possible that some districts decided to defer
361 major maintenance work orders during the time frame; however, it is also possible that certain
362 districts did not enter them into the database since BMP restoration, rehabilitation, or rebuild work
363 is typically contracted out to consultants. With a portion of the BMP maintenance records noted
364 as "Contractor BMP Maintenance Tracking," the Richmond district appeared to engage an outside
365 contractor to do part of the routine maintenance work. Only a very small number of the BMP
366 work orders were found to be from the Hampton Roads district, and an overwhelming majority of
367 them came without a work description. The most common BMP work orders in the Fredericksburg
368 district were labeled as "Routine Maintenance," but the exact maintenance activities performed
369 were not identified. Culpeper district's semiannual routine mowing work was typically grouped
370 together by county. In addition, details on the maintenance issues revealed by its annual inspections

371 seemed to be summarized and stored elsewhere (i.e., they were not found in the dataset provided).
372 BMP maintenance jobs from the Staunton district primarily involved routine ground management
373 (i.e., mowing and trimming) and non-routine sediment or debris removal.

374 The maintenance categories of roughly 16% of the BMP work orders could not be determined
375 due to missing descriptions of work performed. Overall, the top three general BMP types that were
376 most frequently maintained during the study period were Conveyance (1.93 counts of maintenance
377 tasks per facility over two years), Basin (1.85), and Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic
378 (1.75). If only routine maintenance records were considered, Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic
379 (1.71) on average required the most maintenance and attention, followed by Conveyance (1.56) and
380 Basin (1.45).

381 **Overall Maintenance Cost by Maintenance Type**

382 Table 6 presents the overall maintenance costs with respect to BMP maintenance type. The
383 percentage of routine, non-routine, and major work orders without any cost information was found
384 to be 10%, 23%, and 14%, respectively. Results from this 2018-2020 dataset show that, on average,
385 routine and non-routine BMP maintenance costs per facility were \$375 and \$812, respectively. The
386 average cost of the 22 major repairs was approximately \$63,000. Furthermore, the average cost
387 of those work orders whose maintenance type could not be ascertained was almost the same as
388 that of routine maintenance. Therefore, the majority of the work orders that were missing work
389 descriptions likely belonged to the routine maintenance category.

390 **Average Maintenance Cost Per BMT by District**

391 Cost results of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks for the nine VDOT districts are
392 shown in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. As mentioned earlier, the only routine maintenance task
393 description found from the Bristol district was mowing. Therefore, Bristol district's per facility cost
394 of \$213 was a great representation of the average cost of routine mowing. Salem district's BMP
395 routine maintenance was evaluated to be \$385 on average, and this district also saw the highest
396 average non-routine maintenance cost at \$1,672, which was largely attributed to an expensive
397 tree removal work order that cost \$11,679.70. By removing the tree removal work order, the

398 average non-routine maintenance for Salem was found to be \$560.43, almost a third of the average
399 maintenance cost. Despite mowing and trimming activities making up its most common routine
400 work orders, the Lynchburg district had the highest average routine maintenance cost of over
401 \$1,500 per task. The Richmond district's contractor-accomplished routine maintenance tasks did
402 not provide any cost information. The remaining routine work orders mainly included mowing-only
403 jobs or services of multiple activities featuring mowing, trimming, spraying, and clean-up, and
404 the average cost of these routine operations was valued at \$299. As discussed before, work orders
405 from the Hampton Roads district typically lacked work descriptions. As a result, very limited
406 work orders were categorized and used to calculate the costs. Routine maintenance tasks from
407 the Fredericksburg district were evaluated at \$59 on average, the lowest among the nine districts.
408 With an average cost of \$775, its non-routine maintenance primarily consisted of unspecified
409 repairs and post-repair BMP re-inspections. Since 50% of the routine maintenance records and
410 48% of the non-routine records from the Culpeper district were missing cost information, its
411 average cost of routine maintenance largely covered BMP mowing and clean-up jobs, while that of
412 non-routine maintenance represented the expenses for addressing unidentified maintenance issues
413 upon the completion of annual inspections. The lowest average cost of non-routine maintenance
414 was observed from the Staunton district at \$431 per BMT; however, such cost information was
415 estimated based on sediment, debris, or shrub removal work orders because no repair records were
416 found. Lastly, for the Northern Virginia district, the average cost for performing inspections and
417 other routine maintenance activities was assessed to be \$700 per task.

418 **Average Maintenance Cost Per BMT by Practice Type**

419 Table 9 and Table 10 compare the maintenance costs among different BMP types; Table 9
420 summarizes routine maintenance costs, while Table 10 presents non-routine maintenance costs.
421 Note that the costs of some BMP types were generated from less than 10 counts of maintenance
422 tasks. Hence, those results may not truly reflect the costs of maintaining certain BMP assets.

423 For stormwater basins, the average cost of routine maintenance was estimated to be \$400
424 per maintenance task, the highest among the eight general practice types recognized by VDOT.

425 Basin BMPs are considered to be easy and inexpensive to maintain, so it was interesting that this
426 estimated maintenance cost was so high. However, many of VDOT's basins are aging and require
427 more maintenance. Furthermore, 70% of the BMPs are basins (i.e., more maintenance tasks done;
428 larger sample size leads to higher average), and basins are better studied and well understood by the
429 crew when it comes to their upkeep. As a result, the maintenance effort and the associated cost are
430 likely to be recorded more accurately. Conveyance channels (e.g., grass swales) saw an average cost
431 of \$357 per task for routine maintenance jobs. For infiltration practices and BMPs of miscellaneous
432 type (i.e., level spreaders), the routine maintenance generally costs less, ranging from \$100 to \$250
433 per site. Routine maintenance operations on manufactured devices were found to cost less than
434 \$100 per task on average. The high standard deviation value for the Basin type (\$690) suggests that
435 some bulk BMP orders conducted on the basins were mislabeled by the staff as single-task work
436 orders. Therefore, the average cost per task for stormwater basins was likely higher than the actual
437 cost. Due to the limited number of non-routine maintenance records found in the database (see
438 Table 5), the average costs for only three of the eight BMP types have the potential to be used as
439 references. Infiltration BMPs had the highest non-routine maintenance cost (on average \$1,123 per
440 task); Basin BMPs had non-routine maintenance average costs of \$911. Lastly, each non-routine
441 maintenance task for Filterrras, Stormfilters, and other types of underground manufactured filtering
442 devices cost around \$313 on average.

443 **Total Annual Cost By General BMP Type**

444 The estimated total annual costs that cover both the routine maintenance and the non-routine
445 maintenance tasks for different types of BMPs are shown in Table 11. The maintenance conducted
446 on Basin BMP types was the most expensive at \$1,100 annually. The second most expensive BMP
447 practice was infiltration, which cost about \$775 to maintain per year. The least expensive BMPs
448 were the proprietary devices that cost less than \$214 per year.

449 **DISCUSSION**

Challenges Caused by Current Database Design and Data Entry Practices

Data Completeness

The accuracy and reliability of the BMP O&M costs represented in this study are affected by data completeness, which refers to the comprehensiveness or wholeness of the data. Attribute fields that were significant to our study and that were often left blank were "SWM ID", "Actual Total Cost", and "Description". Out of the 2,136 potential BMP work orders, nearly 31% were missing SWM IDs, 11% had no cost information, and roughly 34% were generated without a helpful work description (the field was either left blank or only contained a facility ID number).

It is possible that the large amount of incomplete data presented in the database was due to the staff's unfamiliarity with the available attributes and/or their failure to understand the importance of data entry. For example, not only did the "SWM ID" serve as a foreign key that links the work order entity and the BMP entity, but it also was the only attribute for differentiating BMP work orders from non-BMP work orders, since this is an overall O&M database for VDOT. Although it is possible that employees were simply not aware of the existence of the "SWM ID" column (which helped explain why some of those missing facility IDs were added to the "Description" field instead), it is also possible that the staff entered all pertinent information that requires a manual entry in the "Description" field out of convenience. Moreover, it is also possible that the crew found it redundant to attach the SWM IDs since the work orders' latitude and longitude coordinates would suggest their affiliations to the BMP sites.

It is possible that there is a breakdown in communication between the management team implementing the database and the maintenance staff entering data into the database. This miscommunication and the lack of controlled vocabulary standards contribute to data incompleteness. VDOT's BMP Inspection and Maintenance Manual stated that in order to stay in compliance with the agency's MS4 permit, all BMPs must be inspected and all necessary maintenance must be conducted within the same permit year (VDOT 2021). Additionally, to prepare for periodic audits from the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VDEQ) and USEPA, sufficient inspection and maintenance records must be retained in the database (VDOT 2021). Even though the motivations

477 behind implementing the database were well explained in the manual, the essential components
478 (e.g., attributes) of adequate maintenance records and the level of detail needed were perhaps
479 ambiguous and confusing. In other words, some maintenance staff or contractors might not fully
480 understand the agency's expectations for collecting quality maintenance data. If these distinctions
481 undergo a course correction, important attributes like "Actual Total Cost" and "Description" may
482 not be left empty or incorrectly assigned in the future.

483 A potential solution for steadily improving data completeness is through proper training. During
484 each employee training session for the maintenance staff responsible for data entry, the maintenance
485 supervisors should reiterate the importance of the stormwater maintenance database by going over
486 the rationale and description behind each column in the work order entity. The supervisors should
487 use examples to walk through the work order input process and remind the staff of the importance of
488 data entry. Another way to improve data completeness would be to set up quality control measures:
489 maintenance records with values missing in one or more fields should be automatically flagged
490 by the system; supervisors should review the data logged periodically and follow up with the staff
491 members failing to follow best practices.

492 *Bulk BMP Work Orders*

493 Aside from the challenge associated with data completeness, the stormwater work order database
494 also suffered from problems caused by the bulk BMP work orders. These "overloaded" work orders
495 only made up roughly 9% of the BMP work orders identified from the database, but they accounted
496 for over 68% of the total number of BMP maintenance tasks conducted over the two-year period.
497 A many-to-many relationship exists between the work orders and the BMPs due to the bulk work
498 orders, which introduces a few challenges.

499 One challenge is that bulk BMP work orders typically fail to keep track of the list of BMP
500 facilities maintained. Indexed by the work order IDs, the "SWM ID" column from the work order
501 entity was designed to be a single-valued attribute that only accepts one SWM ID number. To
502 log a bulk maintenance order, the staff has to either enter only one of the BMP's ID numbers or
503 leave the "SWM ID" field completely blank because of the single-value constraint. For instance, a

504 bulk work order from Salem district was generated without any "SWM ID" information, and in its
505 "Description" field, the employee typed, "8 BMP Herbicide spraying." Even though the comment
506 clearly indicated the total number of BMPs serviced and the maintenance activity performed, this
507 record cannot be traced back to each individual BMP maintained, limiting the ability to track O&M
508 costs by specific BMP types. Some staff members elected to store the SWM IDs of all relevant
509 BMPs as a list separated by commas under the "Description" column of the bulk work order,
510 thereby violating the first normal form (1NF) of the relational database. For one, 1NF requires
511 each attribute to contain values of a single type. The attribute "Description," for instance, should
512 contain comments on the maintenance activities only, no SWM IDs or BMP types. Additionally,
513 each value stored under a column should be a single value, not an array or list of values (Conger
514 2011). These practices point to the need for better data entry tools. For example, the system should
515 disaggregate the data from bulk work orders before entering it into the database.

516 Another issue with bulk BMP work orders is that there is no way to know the contribution of each
517 BMP task against the total cost of maintenance. This hinders the ability to know the specific O&M
518 cost per BMP. As mentioned before, VDOT wants to use the stormwater maintenance tracking
519 database to remain in compliance with MS4 permit regulations and to determine the life cycle
520 maintenance costs of various types of stormwater BMPs to guide them in future BMP selections.
521 If the costs of maintenance activities from bulk work orders cannot be broken down to the facility
522 level, it would be nearly impossible to determine which type(s) of BMP is low-maintenance and,
523 therefore, more cost-effective. For example, the "Description" field of a bulk work order from
524 the Northern Virginia district read "Semi-Annual Maintenance to 202 SWM BMPs during this
525 period," and the "Actual Total Cost" field reported a value of "129387.8". One could argue that
526 those 202 BMPs might be comprised of different types of stormwater BMPs, and consequently,
527 the maintenance work conducted on-site could vary based on factors like BMP type and field
528 conditions. Therefore, it would not be reasonable to divide the total cost of \$129,387.8 up equally
529 across 202 BMPs; as a result, the unit facility-wide costs of conducting a certain maintenance
530 activity over multiple BMP types still remain unknown.

Controlled Vocabulary

The last major challenge identified through this analysis is the lack of a controlled vocabulary in the database. Since BMP maintenance work within each VDOT district is delegated to area headquarters staff or contractors (VDOT 2021), they often use slightly different words or terms to describe the same maintenance activities conducted on-site. For example, in the "Description" column of the annual inspection work orders, different staff members wrote the following different phrases: "Annual BMP Inspection", "Annual Assessment", and "Inspecting of storm ponds" to name a few. This made the database cleaning process more difficult because the generation of comprehensive lists of strings to categorize the work orders was extremely varied.

Another issue that arises from the lack of controlled vocabulary is the various combinations of routine maintenance activities logged in the "Description" field. As briefly mentioned in the Method section, how the maintenance work is handled can vary by VDOT district or area headquarter. Some districts preferred grouping mowing, spraying, and litter pick-up together, while other districts chose to couple trimming and spraying; some finished routine maintenance and inspection at the same time, while others conducted annual inspections separately. It would be much simpler to approximate the average cost of individual maintenance activities if all area headquarters within the same VDOT district (if not all nine districts) could come to an agreement on how the BMP maintenance is assigned and which pre-defined set of terms should be used when creating maintenance records in HMMS.

Proposed Extensions to the Current Database Design

To help address the preceding challenges from the current database, a new database design is proposed and shown in Fig. 4. This design, while inspired by the VDOT HMMS database, is general and applicable to other agencies interested in better tracking BMP O&M costs. The most obvious difference between the existing and new database design is the addition of a third entity named "BMP Maintenance Task." Although many-to-many relationships are legitimate relationships in logical terms, no database can implement them without increasing data redundancy that eventually leads to data anomalies (Conger 2011). A many-to-many relationship in any relational database

558 management system (RDBMS), therefore, must be resolved into two one-to-many relationships
559 through the creation of a linking entity (Conger 2011). In this case, the newly added "BMP
560 Maintenance Task" entity plays such a role that links the "Work Order" entity to the "BMP" entity.
561 A stormwater work order can include zero-to-many BMP maintenance tasks and a stormwater BMP
562 can be maintained zero-to-many times under different maintenance tasks.

563 Currently, all maintenance work orders related to stormwater are given the activity code of
564 "72207 Storm Water Basin/BMP Maint." under the "Activity Description" column. However, these
565 work orders were largely mislabeled since this study found that only 53% of the original 4,020
566 work orders were maintenance of BMP facilities. Hence, it is recommended that a pre-defined
567 activity code of "72208 Non-BMP Maintenance" be added to the "Activity Description" attribute
568 in the new design so that staff members can use this column to separate out BMP work orders from
569 non-BMP ones. Non-BMP maintenance work will only be kept in the Work Order entity, whereas
570 BMP maintenance will be recorded in both the Work Order and the Maintenance Task entities.

571 To re-create the bulk BMP work order from Fig. 2. in the database properly, the staff would need
572 to create a new row in the "Work Order" entity, and then select "72207 BMP Maintenance" for the
573 Activity Description column. Next, staff should provide a brief description of what the work order
574 is intended for, such as "2018 NOVA District Annual BMP Inspection Package 1". Afterwards,
575 quickly indicate whether the work order will be contracted out or not, followed by entering the
576 number of tasks included and the number of BMP involved. It is important to recall that one BMT
577 must relate to one BMP facility. Both the "Task Quantity" and the "BMP Involved Quantity" fields
578 should therefore be given a value of "1". The next step is to create a maintenance task record for
579 each inspection (each with a unique Maintenance Task ID). Next, select an appropriate maintenance
580 category or group and an adequate type for the task. As shown in Fig. 4, the BMP maintenance
581 tasks are categorized into the same three groups as discussed in the Method section: Routine,
582 Non-routine, and Major Maintenance. For a BMT from the routine maintenance group, it can
583 be labeled as "Inspection," "Mowing," or "Non-Mowing Ground Management." In the case of the
584 example bulk work order, the apparent choices for the Group and Type columns are "0000-Routine

585 Maintenance" and "R1-Inspection," respectively. It is worth noting that in the proposed database
586 design, the "Cost" column was moved from the "Work Order" entity to the "Maintenance Task"
587 entity, in which case the latter should be able to keep track of the cost per facility at the maintenance
588 task level. Lastly, the person responsible for entering the data should carefully enter the ID number
589 for the work order and the SWM ID of the BMP facility; both columns are used as foreign keys so
590 that a specific maintenance task can be traced back to an individual work order and a single BMP.
591 A total of 71 records need to be created in the maintenance task entity for this specific bulk BMP
592 work order. To simplify the data entry process for the maintenance staff, a software program can
593 be adapted to batch generate maintenance task records within the database management system, in
594 which case only the values for Cost and SWM ID fields need to be manually adjusted. A simplified
595 version of the work order example and the maintenance task example (in the proposed database
596 design) discussed above is shown in Fig. 5 and 6.

597 **CONCLUSION**

598 This research highlights the role that proper database design plays in enabling the tracking
599 of stormwater BMP maintenance. This work also describes challenges associated with BMP
600 maintenance tracking, presents preliminary cost estimates associated with routine, non-routine,
601 and major maintenance activities based on available data, and provides an extension to the current
602 database design for improved stormwater BMP maintenance tracking.

603 Incomplete maintenance reporting, lack of controlled vocabulary, lack of standardized reporting
604 entries, and bulk reporting can lead to lower accuracy of the maintenance data being collected.
605 However, proper training, quality control assessments, and automatic flags associated with incomplete
606 data can help mitigate incomplete reporting. The use of a set of pre-defined terms for each
607 maintenance activity would facilitate querying and analysis of the data being collected, which
608 increases the usefulness of the data being collected in terms of being able to evaluate trends
609 associated with maintenance activities and cost. Additionally, controlled vocabularies are proposed
610 for the "Activity Description", "Group", and "Type" columns to ensure each work order or each
611 maintenance task can be clearly labeled and classified. The existence of bulk work orders forced

612 a many-to-many relationship to form between the Work Order entity and the BMP entity and, as
613 a result, facility-wide maintenance costs were unable to be quantified. The practice of bulk work
614 order reporting should be avoided as there is no way to know the contribution of each BMP task
615 against the total cost of maintenance. This hinders the ability to know the specific O&M cost per
616 BMP.

617 BMP maintenance data is helpful for identifying the type, frequency, and cost of maintenance
618 activities being performed. Analyzing available maintenance data from VDOT's tracking system,
619 we find that preventative maintenance actions appeared to lower the need for non-routine or major
620 repairs within the Virginia districts. Routine and non-routine maintenance costs were, on average,
621 \$375 per task and \$812 per task, respectively. Both average costs have large standard deviations,
622 which suggests that the costs of maintenance tasks are highly variable. One possible explanation
623 for this is that some tasks include multiple maintenance services instead of a single activity. Out
624 of the eight general practice types, the Basin routine maintenance task was found to cost the most,
625 at nearly \$400, whereas that of the proprietary BMPs appeared to cost the least (less than \$100).
626 It is likely that some of those manufactured devices, unlike the basins, were installed in the past
627 three to five years, and therefore, they required very little maintenance attention. Averaging \$1,100,
628 non-routine maintenance tasks from Infiltration practices were the most expensive among all other
629 practice types.

630 After a few years of these solutions for stormwater BMP maintenance and database design
631 being in place, valuable insight into the efficacy of specific BMPs can be determined. For example,
632 estimates for BMP inspection frequencies can be determined for respective BMPs. Furthermore,
633 an assessment can take place to determine the level of effort needed to maintain certain BMPs.
634 These types of analyses could help approximate the life-cycle cost of BMPs to generate a reasonable
635 maintenance budget, which would inform future decision-making on the types of BMPs that should
636 be used for specific site conditions. Future research should focus on increasing the accuracy of
637 the cost analysis for the maintenance of stormwater BMPs. Considering the goal is to increase
638 the efficiency of BMP maintenance across all municipalities, it is recommended to normalize the

639 results of the study so that they are more useful to other agencies. For example, the number of
640 tasks could be normalized by the number of BMPs within a district, the cost of living in the district,
641 or some other metric. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see if one could determine how
642 maintenance tasks are influenced by socio-economic factors and spatial analysis considering BMP
643 costs are highly dependent on the location.

644 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

645 Some or all data, models, or code that support the findings of this study are available from the
646 corresponding author upon reasonable request. The following data, models, or code are available:

- 647 • VDOT stormwater work order data created from October 2018 to September 2020
- 648 • VDOT stormwater BMP inventory data as of December 2020
- 649 • String-searching algorithm Python script

650 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

651 This study was supported by funding from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT).
652 The authors would like to extend their gratitude to VDOT for providing the data for this study and
653 Scott Crafton, John Olenik, Alex Foraste, and Hyung Jun Ahn of VDOT for their valuable insights,
654 guidance, and feedback.

655 **REFERENCES**

- 656 Barbosa, A., J.N., F., and L.M., D. (2012). “Key issues for sustainable
657 urban stormwater management.” *Water Research*, 46(20), 6787–6798.
658 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.05.029>.
- 659 Blecken, G., Hunt III, W. F., Al-Rubaei, A. M., Viklander, M., and Lord, W. G. (2017). “Stormwater
660 control measure (SCM) maintenance considerations to ensure designed functionality.” *Urban*
661 *Water J.*, 14(3), 278–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062X.2015.1111913>.
- 662 Clary, J., Earles, A., Leisenring, M., and Pankani, D. (2018). *Recommended operation and*
663 *maintenance activity and cost reporting parameters for stormwater best management practices*
664 *database*. The Water Research Foundation (WRF), Alexandria, Va.

665 Clary, J., Quigley, M., Poresky, A., Earles, A., Strecker, E., Leisenring, M., and Jones, J. (2011).
666 “Integration of low-impact development into the international stormwater bmp database.” *J Irrig*
667 *Drain Eng.*, 137(3), 190–198. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)IR.1943-4774.0000182](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000182).

668 Conger, S. (2011). *Hands-On Database: An Introduction to Database Design and Development*.
669 Prentice Hall Pub. Co., Hoboken, Nj.

670 DelGrosso, Z., Hodges, C., and Dymond, R. L. (2019). “Identifying key factors for implementation
671 and maintenance of green stormwater infrastructure.” *J. Sustain. Water Built Environ.*, 5(3),
672 05019002. <https://doi.org/10.1061/JSWBAY.0000878>.

673 Erickson, A. J., Gulliver, J. S., Kang, J., Weiss, P. T., and Wilson, C. B. (2010).
674 “Maintenance for stormwater treatment practices.” *J. Contemp. Water Res. Educ.*, 146(1), 75–82.
675 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-704X.2010.00393.x>.

676 Flynn, K. M., Linkous, B. W., and Buechter, M. T. (2012). “Operation and maintenance
677 assessment for structural stormwater BMPs.” *Proc., 2012 World Environmental and*
678 *Water Resources Congress*, American Soc. of Civil Engineers, Reston, Va., 3662–3673.
679 <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784412312.368>.

680 Hoss, F., Fischbach, J., and Molina-Perez, E. (2016). “Effectiveness of best management practices
681 for stormwater treatment as a function of runoff volume.” *J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag. - ASCE*,
682 142(11), 05016009. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)WR.1943-5452.0000684](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000684).

683 Houle, J. J., Roseen, R. M., Ballester, T. P., Puls, T. A., and Sherrard, J.
684 (2013). “Comparison of maintenance cost, labor demands, and system performance
685 for LID and conventional stormwater management.” *J. Environ. Eng.*, 7(11), 932–938.
686 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)EE.1943-7870.0000698](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0000698).

687 Krieger, J. and Grubert, E. (2021). “Life-cycle costing for distributed stormwater control measures
688 on the gray-green continuum: A planning-level tool.” *J. Sustain. Water Built Environ.*, 7(1),
689 04020019. <https://doi.org/10.1061/JSWBAY.0000933>.

690 Li, H. (2015). “Green infrastructure for highway stormwater management: Field investigation
691 for future design, maintenance, and management needs.” *J. Infrastruct. Syst. - ASCE*, 21(4),

692 05015001. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)IS.1943-555X.0000248](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IS.1943-555X.0000248).

693 Nobles, A. L., Goodall, J. L., and Fitch, G. M. (2017). “Comparing costs of onsite best management
694 practices to nutrient credits for stormwater management: a case study in virginia.” *J. Am. Water
695 Resour. Assoc.*, 53(1), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12487>.

696 Qiao, X. J., Kristoffersson, A., and T.B., R. (2018). “Challenges to implementing urban sustainable
697 stormwater management from a governance perspective: A literature review.” *J. Clean. Prod.*,
698 196, 943–952 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.049>.

699 Roy, A. H., Wenger, S. J., Fletcher, T. D., Walsh, C. J., Ladson, A. R., Shuster, W. D., Thurston,
700 H. W., and Brown, R. R. (2008). “Impediments and solutions to sustainable, watershed-scale
701 urban stormwater management: Lessons from australia and the united states.” *Environ Manage*,
702 42(2), 344–359 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-008-9119-1>.

703 Smith, V. B., McGauley, M. W., Newman, M., Garzio-Hadzick, A., Kurzweil, A.,
704 Wadzuk, B. M., and Traver, R. (2023). “A relational data model for advancing
705 stormwater infrastructure management.” *J. Sustain. Water Built Environ.*, 9(1), 04022023.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1061/JSWBAY.SWENG-478>.

707 United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (2009). *National Water Quality
708 Inventory: Report to Congress*. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington,
709 D.C.

710 Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) (2021). *BMP Inspection and Maintenance Manual*.
711 VDOT, CO-Maintenance Division, Richmond, Va.

712	List of Tables	
713	1	Specific BMP types subsumed under eight VDOT-recognized general BMP types . . . 29
714	2	Lists of strings used for identifying maintenance activities of different BMP maintenance
715		types 30
716	3	Number of BMP work orders, bulk BMP work orders, and BMP maintenance tasks
717		by BMP maintenance type 31
718	4	Number of BMP maintenance tasks performed by VDOT districts 31
719	5	Number of BMP maintenance tasks occurred on different types of stormwater BMPs 31
720	6	Cost per maintenance task by BMP maintenance type 32
721	7	Cost of BMP routine maintenance task by VDOT district 32
722	8	Cost of BMP non-routine maintenance task by VDOT district 32
723	9	Cost of BMP routine maintenance task by general BMP type 33
724	10	Cost of BMP non-routine maintenance task by general BMP type 33
725	11	Estimated total annual costs of BMP routine and non-routine maintenance tasks by
726		general BMP type 34

TABLE 1. Specific BMP types subsumed under eight VDOT-recognized general BMP types

VDOT General BMP Type	Specific BMP Type (Virginia Stormwater BMP Clearinghouse Practice)
Basins	Constructed Wetlands (Practice 13), Wet Ponds (Practice 14), Extended Detention (ED) Ponds (Practice 15)
Filtration	Sheet Flow to Vegetated Filter Strip/Conserved Open Space (Practice 2), Filtering Practices (Practice 12)
Infiltration	Permeable Pavement (Practice 7), Infiltration Practices (Practice 8), Bioretention (Practice 9)
Conveyance	Grass Channels (Practice 3), Dry Swales (Practice 10), Wet Swales (Practice 11)
Miscellaneous	Level Spreaders, Check Dams, Riprap Berms
UMF	MTD/proprietary BMP: Filtering Devices (Practice 17)
UMH	MTD/proprietary BMP: Hydrodynamic Devices (Practice 16)
OU	E.g., Underground Pipe Detention Systems, Underground Vault Detention Systems, Underground Sand Filters

Note: UMF = Underground Manufactured Filtering, UMH = Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic, and OU = Other Underground Practices

TABLE 2. Lists of strings used for identifying maintenance activities of different BMP maintenance types

BMP Maintenance Type	Maintenance Activity(s)	Must-Have String(s)	List of Strings to Include	List of Strings to Exclude
	I	N/a	inspection, assessment, inspecting	re-, issues, prep, maintenance, GIS
	M	N/a	mow, 2nd cycle bmp	trim, spray, trash, clean, brush
	T	N/a	trim, cut, brush, weed, hand mowing	spray, mow, removal
	S	N/a	spray, herbicide	mow, trim, trash
	C	N/a	trash, clean	mow, routine, inspect, spray, repair, fix, grease, drop, sediment, catch basin, D/I
	M & T	mowing and brush cutting	N/a	N/a
	M & C	mow	trash, clean	weed, spray, trim, contractors
	M, T, & C	mow	trim, hand, weed	N/a
	M, S, & C	cut grass	N/a	N/a
	M, T, S, & C	mow, spray, trash	trim, hand, weed	N/a
	T & S	sprayed and trimmed	N/a	N/a
	URM	N/a	routine maintenance, annual maintenance, maintenance, sb maint, prep for inspection	mow, annual inspection, corrective, issue
	URM & I	inspection	routine maintenance, annual maintenance, maintenance, sb maint, maintenance	issue, re-
	I & GM	GIS	N/a	N/a
	SDSTR	N/a	sediment removal, debris removal, brush removal, tree work, riser cleared, Mooretown road, plant, leaf clog, vacuum, cleaning drop, inlet, orifice, Ranchcrest Dr	catch basin
Non-routine	ESR	N/a	repair, erosion, fixing, issue, replace, straw, stone, fence, beaver dam, rip rap, hydroseed	Top, DI, Murray Ln, corrective, Corrective, Corrections, Function, function, original, BMP Repaired, BMP 05, BMP 15, BMP 71, stock, washout
	Re-I	re-	N/a	N/a
Major	RRR	N/a	corrective, correction, function, BMP repaired, BMP 05, BMP 15, BMP 71, modification	drop inlet, top, northgate, pointe, mow, maintenance

Note: For specific maintenance task(s), I = Inspection, M = Mowing, T = Trimming, S = Spraying, C = Clean-up, URM = Unidentified Routine Maintenance, GM = GIS Mapping, SDSTR = Sediment, Debris, Shrub, or Tree Removal, ESR = Erosion or Structural Repair, Re-I = Re-inspection, and RRR = Restoration, Rehabilitation, and Rebuild

TABLE 3. Number of BMP work orders, bulk BMP work orders, and BMP maintenance tasks by BMP maintenance type

BMP Maintenance Type	BMP Work Order Count	Bulk BMP Work Order Count	BMP Maintenance Task Count
Routine	1,217	113	4,947
Non-routine	158	1	182
Major	22	0	22
Unknown	739	72	993
Sum	2,136	186	6,144

TABLE 4. Number of BMP maintenance tasks performed by VDOT districts

VDOT District	Routine	Non-routine	Major	Unknown	BMP Count
Bristol	78	1	0	10	223
Salem	593	12	0	639	240
Lynchburg	107	10	14	15	145
Richmond	165	5	0	182	433
Hampton Roads	1	5	0	65	348
Fredericksburg	341	35	0	19	217
Culpeper	316	85	0	52	119
Staunton	116	26	0	6	206
Northern Virginia	3,230	3	8	5	712
Sum	4,947	182	22	993	2,643

TABLE 5. Number of BMP maintenance tasks occurred on different types of stormwater BMPs

General BMP Type	Routine	Non-routine	Major	Unknown	BMP Count
Basin	2,647	143	22	584	1,849
Conveyance	250	8	0	51	160
Filtration	6	0	0	4	46
Infiltration	179	10	0	39	135
Miscellaneous	160	5	0	105	157
UMF	224	10	0	5	169
UMH	94	2	0	0	55
OU	8	3	0	1	36
Unspecified	1,353	1	0	204	36
Sum	4,921	182	22	993	2,643

Note: UMF = Underground Manufactured Filtering, UMH = Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic, and OU = Other Underground Practices

TABLE 6. Cost per maintenance task by BMP maintenance type

BMP Maintenance Type	Average Cost	Median Cost	Std Dev	Work Orders Without Cost Info
Routine	\$374.72	\$225.00	\$626.12	10%
Non-routine	\$812.39	\$523.57	\$1,271.75	23%
Major	\$62,576.57	\$36,120.00	\$89,518.29	14%
Unknown	\$377.31	\$425.00	\$168.37	30%

TABLE 7. Cost of BMP routine maintenance task by VDOT district

VDOT District	Average Cost	Median Cost	Std Dev	BMP Maintenance Task Count	Work Orders Without Cost
Bristol	\$212.57	\$105.00	\$215.54	69	12%
Salem	\$385.12	\$350.00	\$193.14	411	6%
Lynchburg	\$1,506.17	\$810.00	\$1,464.60	106	1%
Richmond	\$299.13	\$247.16	\$314.40	37	51%
Hampton Roads	\$1,209.35	\$1,209.35	N/a	1	0%
Fredericksburg	\$58.98	\$47.98	\$194.46	338	1%
Culpeper	\$470.11	\$414.68	\$359.99	38	50%
Staunton	\$293.25	\$200.00	\$218.17	116	0%
Northern Virginia	\$678.50	\$447.24	\$775.70	1,395	43%

Note: The values shown in the BMP Maintenance Task Count column only represent the numbers of tasks used for calculations

TABLE 8. Cost of BMP non-routine maintenance task by VDOT district

VDOT District	Average Cost	Median Cost	Std Dev	BMP Maintenance Task Count	Work Orders Without Cost
Bristol			N/a		
Salem	\$1,672.36	\$615.00	\$3,526.75	10	17%
Lynchburg	\$1,311.51	\$952.69	\$1,629.93	9	10%
Richmond	\$1,024.69	\$778.24	\$732.81	4	20%
Hampton Roads	\$962.97	\$944.31	\$309.69	5	0%
Fredericksburg	\$774.54	\$418.63	\$952.48	35	0%
Culpeper	\$684.86	\$545.59	\$535.16	56	48%
Staunton	\$430.65	\$200.00	\$493.49	26	0%
Northern Virginia	\$1,450.00	\$1,450.00	N/a	1	67%

Note: The values shown in the BMP Maintenance Task Count column only represent the numbers of tasks used for calculations

TABLE 9. Cost of BMP routine maintenance task by general BMP type

General BMP Type	Average Cost	Median Cost	Std Dev	BMP Maintenance Task Count	Work Orders Without Cost
Basin	\$399.95	\$225.00	\$680.48	916	8%
Conveyance	\$357.37	\$200.00	\$338.56	38	17%
Filtration	\$200.00	\$200.00	N/a	1	50%
Infiltration	\$225.42	\$200.00	\$132.22	66	15%
Miscellaneous	\$113.92	\$62.50	\$118.54	69	7%
UMF	\$95.14	\$62.20	\$87.49	12	61%
UMH	\$82.71	\$74.39	\$40.09	5	29%
OU	\$96.63	\$89.70	\$29.76	6	0%
Unspecified	\$534.40	\$425.00	\$426.26	1,398	19%

Note: UMF = Underground Manufactured Filtering, UMH = Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic, and OU = Other Underground Practices; the values shown in the BMP Maintenance Task Count column only represent the numbers of tasks used for calculations

TABLE 10. Cost of BMP non-routine maintenance task by general BMP type

General BMP Type	Average Cost	Median Cost	Std Dev	BMP Maintenance Task Count	Work Orders Without Cost Info
Basin	\$911.33	\$528.45	\$1,573.68	98	38%
Conveyance	\$462.69	\$320.04	\$242.81	7	13%
Filtration			N/a		
Infiltration	\$1,123.26	\$1,273.50	\$576.47	10	0%
Miscellaneous	\$554.40	\$399.49	\$433.50	5	0%
UMF	\$313.30	\$144.05	\$463.88	8	20%
UMH	\$192.07	\$192.07	\$0.00	2	0%
OU	\$192.07	\$192.07	N/a	1	67%
Unspecified	\$756.51	\$561.03	\$481.09	15	0%

Note: UMF = Underground Manufactured Filtering, UMH = Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic, and OU = Other Underground Practices; the values shown in the BMP Maintenance Task Count column only represent the numbers of tasks used for calculations

TABLE 11. Estimated total annual costs of BMP routine and non-routine maintenance tasks by general BMP type

General BMP Type	Routine Maintenance			Non-routine Maintenance			Total Annual Cost
	Average Cost Per Task	Average Task Count Per BMP Per Year	Annual Cost	Average Cost Per Task	Average Task Count Per BMP Per Year	Annual Cost	
Basin	\$399.95	0.93	\$373.06	\$911.33	0.79	\$720.24	\$1,093.31
Conveyance	\$357.37	0.95	\$339.50	\$462.69	0.5	\$231.35	\$570.84
Filtration	\$200.00	0.50	\$100.00		N/a		\$100.00
Infiltration	\$225.42	0.94	\$212.54	\$1,123.26	0.5	\$561.63	\$774.17
Miscellaneous	\$113.92	0.69	\$78.60	\$554.40	0.5	\$277.20	\$355.80
UMF	\$95.14	0.60	\$57.08	\$313.30	0.5	\$156.65	\$213.73
UMH	\$82.71	0.50	\$41.36	\$192.07	0.5	\$96.04	\$137.39
OU	\$96.63	0.50	\$48.32	\$192.07	0.5	\$96.04	\$144.35

Note: UMF = Underground Manufactured Filtering, UMH = Underground Manufactured Hydrodynamic, and OU = Other Underground Practices; the Average Task Count Per BMP Per Year represents the average maintenance frequency over the two-year study period